arXiv:cond-mat/0105552v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 29 May 2001

Nonadiabatic scattering of a quantum particle in an inhomogenous magnetic field

F. Dahlem[1], F. Evers[2], A. D. Mirlin[2,3], D. G. Polyakov[2], P. Wölfle[2,3]
[1]*Ecole Doctorale de Physique, Université Joseph Fourier - Grenoble 1, 38042 Grenoble, France*
[2]*Institut für Nanotechnologie, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany*
[3]*Institut für Theorie der Kondensierten Materie, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

(February 1, 2008)

We investigate the quantum effects, in particular the Landau-level quantization, in the scattering of a particle the nonadiabatic classical dynamics of which is governed by an adiabatic invariant. As a relevant example, we study the scattering of a drifting particle on a magnetic barrier in the quantum limit where the cyclotron energy is much larger than a broadening of the Landau levels induced by the nonadiabatic transitions. We find that, despite the level quantization, the exponential suppression $\exp(-2\pi d/\delta)$ (barrier width d, orbital shift per cyclotron revolution δ) of the root-mean-square transverse displacement experienced by the particle after the scattering is the same in the quantum and the classical regime.

PACS numbers: 3.65.Nk 72.10.-d 73.43.Cd

A well-known example of an adiabatic invariant is the magnetic flux enclosed by a trajectory of a classical electron drifting in two dimensions in a magnetic field perpendicular to the drift plane ($E\times B$-drift). Although it is not a strictly conserved quantity, it implies strong constraints for the motion of the particle. In particular, in a smooth potential the the guiding center drifts along equipotential lines because a shift from the equipotential would change the cyclotron radius, which violates adiabaticity. This principle underlies, e.g., the semiclassical theory of the integer quantum Hall effect [1]. It provides a mechanism for “adiabatic localization” of electrons [2] and leads to a non-monotonic dependence of the width of the cyclotron resonance on the magnetic field [3].

In a series of recent papers [4], it has been emphasized that adiabatic invariance is crucial also for the dynamics of composite fermions, which are quasiparticles relevant to the fractional Quantum Hall effect (FQHE). The composite fermions drift along lines of constant flux in an effective random magnetic field if the filling fraction sufficiently differs from 1/2, so that the average effective magnetic field is strong enough. Then almost all trajectories are closed and it is only due to weak violation of adiabatic invariance that the conductivity is non-zero [4].

Because of its significance for the understanding of the phenomena mentioned above, we have recently formulated and solved a model problem: the scattering of a classical particle drifting in a magnetic field with homogenous gradient towards a magnetic impurity [5]. We found that the displacement of the guiding center in a scattering event is suppressed by an exponentially small factor $\exp(-2\pi d/\delta)$, where δ is the shift of the guiding center in a cyclotron period along the drift trajectory and d is the impurity size. In Quantum Hall devices d is determined by the (spacer) distance between the doping layer and the plane of the two-dimensional electron gas. Moreover, we also found an unusual geometric resonance which corresponds to “self-commensurability”. It is not related to the geometry of the scatterer but to that of the unperturbed trajectory: whenever the cyclotron diameter $2R_c$ and δ are commensurate the scattering is strong.

It is an important question whether the strong adiabatic constraint on the possible classical scattering processes is lifted by quantum mechanics. If so, then the adiabatic-localization scenario outlined above and the corresponding interpretation of experiments could be questionable. In order to resolve these issues, we analyze in the present paper the scattering problem for a drifting particle in the quantum regime. Like in the classical case, we calculate the root-mean-square (r.m.s.) transverse shift $\Delta\rho$. In the quantum regime, the Landau-level quantization is strong, so that a separation in real space of two drift trajectories with the same energy and corresponding to different Landau-levels by far exceeds the classically calculated $\Delta\rho$. Although one might expect otherwise, we find that the r.m.s. non-adiabatic shift is given by the same formula as in the classical case.

An analogous conclusion has been drawn in earlier work for the case of motion in a random potential [2]. The difference between our consideration here and that in Ref. [2] is twofold: i) our one-impurity problem has well defined in- and outgoing states; ii) we consider an inhomogeneous magnetic field (rather than scalar potential). An important advantage of the one-impurity scattering problem is that it allows for a controlled calculation of the nonadiabatic shift. This yields not only the exponential suppression but also the prefactor. Thus, we find an additional non-trivial feature, the above mentioned “self-commensurability” oscillations.

Let us point out further relations of this work to yet another field of interest. Recently, a number of experiments have been dealing with semiconductor hybrid structures which consist of a quasi one-dimensional conducting channel with a magnetic barrier that modulates the electronic current [7–9]. These experiments have been performed in weak magnetic fields. At sufficiently strong fields the device may be considered an experimental realization of our model problem. In principle, this allows for an experimental check of our predictions by measuring the transverse current or the Hall voltage. We come back to this issue at the end of the paper.

The Hamiltonian for the free drift motion of the model problem formulated above reads

$$H_0 = \big(i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_0\big)^2/2m. \tag{1}$$

We choose Landau's gauge with $\mathbf{A}_0 = By\big(1+\eta y/2l, 0\big)$, where $l = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_c}$ is the magnetic length, $\omega_c = eB/mc$ is the cyclotron frequency, η is a dimensionless parameter characterizing the magnetic field gradient and we adopted units such that $e = c = 1$. Due to translational invariance the exact eigenstates are of the form $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(ikx)\chi_n(y)/\sqrt{L_x}$ and the problem is effectively one-dimensional. The functions $\chi_{n,k}(y)$, with $n = 0, 1, 2\ldots$, are eigenfunctions of a quantum particle in a quartic potential

$$v(y) = \frac{1}{2ml^2}\big[\frac{y}{l}(1+\frac{\eta}{2l}y) - kl\big]^2. \tag{2}$$

After introducing new coordinates $\xi = (y - y_k)/l_k$ one obtains the effective Hamiltonian

$$h_k = \big(-\partial_\xi^2 + (\xi + \eta_k\xi^2/2)^2\big)/2ml_k^2,$$

where $l_k = l/\sqrt{1+\eta y_k/l}$, $y_k = \big(\sqrt{1+2\eta kl} - 1\big)l/\eta$ and $\eta_k = \eta(l_k/l)^3$. The exact eigenfunctions $\chi_{n,k}$ of h_k are not known analytically and have been calculated numerically [6]. The simplest approximation is to ignore the anharmonic terms altogether. This procedure is justified if the relative change of the magnetic field within the cyclotron radius $R_k = \sqrt{2n+1}l_k$ is small: $\eta_k R_k/2l_k \ll 1$, which is what we assume here. In other words, we consider the case where the quartic potential (2) forms a double well with two well separated parabolic minima. Our focus is on particle energies not too large such that tunneling between the two wells is strongly suppressed and the shape of each is approximately parabolic. In this situation the electronic trajectories resemble a cyclotron orbit drifting along lines of constant magnetic field.

We are then facing a well-defined scattering problem with free scattering states

$$\chi_{n,k} \approx \phi_{n,k}(\xi) = H_n(\xi)\ \exp\big(-\xi^2/2\big)/N_{n,k}. \tag{3}$$

Here, H_n denotes the Hermite polynomials and $N_{n,k} = \big(\sqrt{\pi}2^n n!\ l_k\big)^{1/2}$ is the normalization factor. To leading order in η and $1/n$ the spectrum is given by $\epsilon_n(y_k) = \hbar\omega_k(n+1/2)$ with $\omega_k = \omega_c(1+\eta y_k/l)$.

The magnetic scatterer has a vector potential $\delta A_x(\mathbf{x})$ which gives rise to a perturbation to the Hamiltonian H_0

$$V = \frac{1}{2m}(i\partial_x + A_x(y))\delta A_x(\mathbf{x}) + \delta A_x(\mathbf{x})\frac{1}{2m}(i\partial_x + A_x(y)),$$

where we have dropped the second-order term.

Because of energy conservation any scattering process from k to k', i. e., from y_k to $y_{k'}$ is accompanied by a simultaneous change in the Landau level quantum number n. In the parabolic approximation, one has

$$y_k - y_{k'} = (k-k')\overline{l}^2 = (2\pi\overline{l}^2/\overline{\delta})\,(n'-n), \tag{4}$$

where $\overline{l} = l/\sqrt{1+\eta Y/l}$, $\overline{R}_c = \overline{l}\sqrt{(n+n'+1)}$, $\overline{\eta} = \eta(\overline{l}/l)^3$, $Y = (y_k + y_k')/2$ and $\overline{\delta} = \pi(\overline{\eta}/\overline{l})\overline{R}_c{}^2$. The probability $w(nk;n')$ of a scattering event from an incident state with quantum numbers n, k to an outgoing state with n' can be calculated by means of the golden rule:

$$w(nk;n') = \sum_{k_f} P(nk;n'k_f)/I_{nk}$$

with the scattering probability per time $P(nk;n'k') = (2\pi/\hbar)\delta(\epsilon_{n,k} - \epsilon_{n'k'})|M(nk;n'k')|^2$ and the incident current $I_{n,k} = (\hbar L_x)^{-1}\partial\epsilon_{nk}/\partial k$. Within the parabolic approximation one finds

$$w(nk;n') = |M(nk;n'k')|^2\left(\frac{\partial\epsilon_{nk}}{\partial k}\frac{\partial\epsilon_{n'k'}}{\partial k'}\right)^{-1},$$

where k' is determined by (4). The drift velocity is $\partial\epsilon_{nk}/\partial k = \delta_k\omega_k/2\pi$, where δ_k denotes the shift in x-direction of the corresponding classical orbit within the cyclotron period, $\delta_k = \pi(\eta_k/l_k)R_k^2$ in the parabolic approximation. The corresponding matrix elements are

$$M(nk;n'k') = \langle\chi_{nk}|(\frac{A_x(y)}{m} - \frac{k+k'}{2m})\delta A_x(q,y)|\chi_{n'k'}\rangle,$$

where $q = k - k'$ and $\delta A_x(q,y)$ is the Fourier transform of the scattering potential with respect to the x-coordinate.

Before we proceed with evaluating $M(nk,n'k')$ let us discuss different relevant regimes. If the scattering probability $w(nk,n')$ as calculated above from the golden rule turns out to be large, $w(nk,n') \gg 1$, we are in the quasiclassical regime. In this limit of a strong barrier, when the Born approximation is not valid, the classical results derived earlier [5] should be applicable. For a weaker barrier, $w(nk,n') \ll 1$, the system is in the quantum regime, which is of central interest for us in the present paper.

It should be noted that the Born approximation matches the classical description at $w(nk,n') \sim 1$ directly only if the parameter $b_0/\overline{\delta}\eta \ll 1$, where b is the characteristic magnetic field produced by the impurity. If $b_0/\overline{\delta}\eta \ll 1$, one can neglect the curving of the quasiclassical trajectories by the impurity field. If $b_0/\overline{\delta}\eta \gg 1$, the Born approximation fails with increasing b when $w(nk,n')$ is still small, so that there appears an intermediate regime between the Born and classical limits. In this regime the scattering probability $w(nk,n')$ can be calculated by means of an eikonal approximation. The crossover to classics occurs when the exact scattering probability is of order unity. For our purpose, however, it is sufficient to consider the limit $b_0/\overline{\delta}\eta \ll 1$, which we assume in what follows.

We will further assume the condition $2\pi\overline{l}^2/\overline{\delta} \ll \overline{R}_c$ (which can be equivalently rewritten as $\overline{\eta}\sqrt{n^3} \gg 1$ and which ensures that the spacing δy between the available states is small compared to the cyclotron radius). In particular, this condition implies that the Landau level index is large, $n \gg 1$. In the opposite case $\overline{\eta}\sqrt{n^3} \ll 1$ (which can be classified as an ultra-quantum limit) the states with $n' \neq n$ overlap exponentially weakly, which leads to an additional suppression of the scattering cross-section, unrelated to adiabaticity.

Now we return to the evaluation of the matrix element $M(nk, n'k')$. Since it can be calculated only approximately, we first need to analyze the impact of gauge invariance. In order to do so, we consider a particular example, namely a magnetic field barrier with a shape independent of y, $\delta A_x(q, y) = (y + y_0)b(q)$. Since the scattering amplitude must be independent of the choice of the origin y_0 we should have

$$\langle \chi_{nk}|A_x(y) - (k + k')/2|\chi_{n'k'}\rangle = 0 \tag{5}$$

whenever the states χ_{nk},$\chi_{n'k'}$ have the same energies. Indeed, this property of the exact eigenstates follows from the identity $\langle \chi_{nk}|h_k - h_{k'}|\chi_{n'k'}\rangle = 0$, immediately. Apriori, we cannot expect the parabolic approximation (3) to fulfill this identity and in fact it does not. To further illustrate this point, we introduce the magnetic field $b(q, p)$ corresponding to the scatterer by inverting $b(q, y) = -\partial_y \delta A_x(y)$ in Fourier space

$$\begin{aligned} M(nk; n'k') = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi}\, b(q, p)/(-ip) \\ \langle \chi_{nk}|\,(A_x(y) - (k + k')/2)\, e^{ipy}|\chi_{n'k'}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Eq. (5) we can be sure that the pole $p = 0$ is canceled if the exact matrix element is used. In order to avoid spurious terms resulting from any approximation that could spoil this cancellation, we employ an exact rewriting of the formula and replace $\exp(ipy) \rightarrow \exp(ipy) - 1$.

Even in the parabolic approximation $\chi_{nk} \approx \phi_{nk}$ the matrix element cannot be evaluated analytically, since the incoming and outgoing states experience slightly different magnetic fields so that l_k and $l_{k'}$ differ from each other. However, the difference may be ignored if the condition $n, n' \gg |n' - n|$ is met. This is precisely the case of interest to us, since $n, n' \gg 1$ and since the relevant values of $n' - n$ are ± 1, as will be explained below.

Therefore we simplify the matrix element by letting $l_k \approx l_{k'} \approx \overline{l}$. Dropping further terms small in $\overline{\eta}, 1/n$ we obtain ($n' \geq n$)

$$\begin{aligned} M(nk, n'k') = -\frac{1}{2m\mathcal{N}} \int \frac{dp}{2\pi}\, b(q, p)\;\; e^{ipY} f_{nn'}\big((q + ip)\overline{l}/2\big) \\ f_{nn'}(\kappa) = \kappa^{n'-n}\partial_{|\kappa|^2}\; e^{-|\kappa|^2} L_n^{n'-n}(2|\kappa^2|) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

with the normalization factor $\mathcal{N} = (2^{n'}n!/2^n n'!)^{-1/2}$. The expression for the other case $n < n'$ is found by interchanging n', n and replacing $q \leftrightarrow -q$ in $f_{nn'}$.

Let us discuss our result by considering several relevant examples. First, we consider the barrier $\delta A_x(q, y) = yb(q)$, again. We will see that higher order transitions $|n' - n| \geq 2$ are strongly suppressed if the width of the barrier d substantially exceeds $\overline{\delta}$. Since it is precisely the adiabatic limit of smooth scatterers, $d \gg \overline{\delta}$, we are mostly interested in, we specialize to the case $n' = n + 1$ right away. Also, we focus on displacements small as compared to the cyclotron radius, $y_k - y_{k'} < \overline{R}_c$, which allows us to use the asymptotic expansion of the Laguerre polynomials. This yields for the transition probability

$$w(nk; n + 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\overline{l}^2}\left|\frac{b(q_1)}{m\overline{\omega}_c}\right|^2 \frac{4\overline{R}_c}{q_1\overline{l}^2}\cos^2\left(q_1\overline{R}_c - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \tag{7}$$

where $q_1 = 2\pi/\overline{\delta}$. For further discussion, we list two examples: relevant to the FQHE is the form $b_1(\mathbf{x}) = b_0 d^3(|\mathbf{x}|^2 + d^2)^{-3/2}$. Its one-dimensional Fourier-transform is $b_1(q, y = 0) = b_0 d\sqrt{2\pi|q|d}\exp(-|q|d)$, valid at $|q|d \gg 1$, which makes the prefactor in the transition probability,

$$w_{1\mathrm{a}}(nk; n + 1) = 4W_0^2\frac{\overline{R}_c d^3}{\overline{l}^4} e^{-2q_1 d}\cos^2\left(q_1\overline{R}_c - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{8}$$

independent of η (here $W_0 = b_0/m\overline{\omega}$). The factor $\exp(-2q_1 d)$ represents the exponential suppression of the transition probability due to adiabaticity. For the processes with $|n - n'| > 1$ this suppression is much stronger, $w(nk, n') \propto \exp(-2q_1 d|n - n'|)$, so that they can be neglected, as stated above. For the magnetotransport experiments [8,9] another magnetic field configuration is relevant, with an average value equal to zero and two "bumps" of opposite sign separated by a distance a, i.e. $b(x) = \beta(x - a/2) - \beta(x + a/2)$. We choose $\beta(x) = b_0\ln((x^2 + d^2)/(x^2 + (d + D)^2))$ [10], which implies

$$\begin{aligned} w_{2\mathrm{a}}(nk; n + 1) = \frac{\overline{R}_c\;\overline{\delta}}{\pi\overline{l}^4}\left(\frac{8}{\pi}W_0\delta\right)^2\;\sin^2\left(\frac{q_1 a}{2}\right)e^{-2q_1 d} \\ \times\sinh^2(q_1 D)\;\cos^2\left(q_1\overline{R}_c - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)e^{-q_1 D}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In addition to the adiabatic suppression, we observe an oscillating behavior as the slope $\overline{\eta}$ is varied, with a geometrical resonance condition $a = j_o\overline{\delta}, j_o = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ at which the leading order scattering process is forbidden.

Next, we turn to another example where the scatterer is of finite extent also in y-direction. We evaluate the integral for the case of b_1, as defined above, in two different limits, again focussing on $n' = n + 1$. When $\overline{R}_c$ exceeds all length scales, $d, Y \ll \overline{R}_c$, we obtain

$$w_{1\mathrm{b}}(nk;n') = 4W_0^2(d/\bar{l})^4\cos^2(q_1\overline{R}_c)e^{-2q_1 d} \tag{10}$$

for the transition probability. In the other regime, where $\overline{R}_c \ll d$ and $Y = 0$, we recover the earlier result Eq. (8).

We are in a position now to compare the classical and quantum mechanical results for the quantity $\Delta\rho$ which is defined as the r.m.s. magnitude of the shift perpendicular to drift velocity after the scattering took place, $\Delta\rho = \langle(\delta\underline{y})^2\rangle^{1/2} = (2\pi\bar{l}^2/\bar{\delta})(\sum_{n'}(n-n')^2 w_{nk,n'})^{1/2}$. For the case $\overline{R}_c \ll d$ and $Y = 0$ we have

$$\Delta\rho_{1\mathrm{a}} = 2^{5/2}\pi\, W_0\,\sqrt{\overline{R}_c d^3/\bar{\delta}^2}\; e^{-q_1 d}\cos\left(q_1\overline{R}_c - \pi/4\right), \tag{11}$$

whereas when $d, Y \ll \overline{R}_c$ we find

$$\Delta\rho_{1\mathrm{b}} = 2^{5/2}\pi\, W_0\,(d^2/\bar{\delta})\; e^{-q_1 d}\cos\left(q_1\overline{R}_c\right) \tag{12}$$

under the conditions $\bar{\eta}\sqrt{n} \ll 1 \ll \bar{\eta}\sqrt{n^3}$ and $d/\bar{\delta} \gg 1$. These results are identical with the classical findings reported in Ref. [5] after the latter have been averaged over the initial conditions. Note, however, that the picture of the scattering is totally different in the classical and the quantum regimes. In the former case, it is the typical magnitude of the adiabatic shift which is exponentially small $\delta y \propto \exp(-2\pi d/\bar{\delta})$. On the other hand, in the quantum case the scattering shift is set by the spacing between the unperturbed states, $\delta y = 2\pi\bar{l}^2/\bar{\delta}$, while the scattering probability is exponentially suppressed $w \propto \exp(-4\pi d/\bar{\delta})$. So, the agreement found between the results for the r.m.s. shift is far from trivial. It is also worth mentioning that it is exactly the r.m.s. shift that determines the macroscopic diffusion coefficient in a system with a finite density of scatterers [2,4].

It is known that in the absence of a magnetic field the transport scattering rate has the same value in the classical and quantum regimes, provided the correlation length of the randomness is large, $k_F d \gg 1$ [11]. We find now an analogous result for the case of transport in strong magnetic fields, when the dynamics is governed by the adiabatic invariance.

Next, we briefly discuss scattering events in which the displacement $y_k - y_{k'} = q\bar{l}^2$ exceeds the cyclotron radius, $q\bar{l}^2 > \overline{R}_c$ ("ultra-quantum limit"). In other words, we focus on very small gradients $\bar{\eta}\sqrt{n^3} < 1$. Once again we consider the magnetic barrier independent of y. Then the scattering probability is

$$w(nk,n') = \frac{1}{2\pi\bar{l}^2}\left|\frac{q_1\bar{l}^2}{\overline{R}_c}\;\frac{b(q)}{m\bar{\omega}}\right|^2\left(\frac{q\bar{l}^2}{\overline{R}_c}\right)^{2(n'+n)} \times e^{-(q^2\bar{l}^2 - \overline{R}_c{}^2/\bar{l}^2)}, \tag{13}$$

provided that $|n'-n| \ll n, n'$. In the typical case, where the magnetic scattering field has a Fourier transform $b(q) \propto e^{-qd}$, the Gaussian factor $\exp(-q^2\bar{l}^2)$ reflecting the shape of the eigenfunctions starts to dominate over the adiabatic factor $\exp(-2qd)$ at $q\bar{l}^2 \approx \max(2d, \overline{R}_c)$.

Up to now we have considered the motion of a particle drifting in a magnetic field with homogenous gradient. The same analysis can be done also for particles that perform an $E{\times}B$-drift. The transition probability is now $w(nk;n') = |M(nk;n'k')|^2/v_d^2$ where we have chosen the $E-$field $\mathbf{E} = (E_x, 0)$ and introduced the corresponding drift velocity $v_d = E_x/m\omega_c$. The matrix element is given by Eq. (6). With the substitutions $\delta = 2\pi v_d/\omega_c$ and $\eta = 0$ the results (7)-(13) derived thereof remain valid.

We have mentioned already that in general the scattering to the left and to the right have different probabilities. In the case of the $E{\times}B$-drift the transverse current resulting from processes with $n' = n \pm 1$ can be easily evaluated:

$$I_y = n_\mathrm{e}\omega_c\left(b(q)/m\omega_c\right)^2\cos(2qR_c)/\pi,$$

where n_e denotes the particle density. Similar to the case of $\Delta\rho$ the current becomes very small whenever the condition $d \gg \delta$ is satisfied. Therefore we predict a dramatic decrease of the transverse current upon crossing over into the regime of adiabatic dynamics at the barrier.

Finally, let us mention that the calculation above implies a constant density of states. However, for electrons in smooth disorder the density of states splits into separate Landau bands for sufficiently large B. It remains to be seen what will be the implications of adiabaticity if the crossover to drift occurs at still larger B. We expect a pronounced signature of the crossover to adiabaticity in the amplitude and shape of the magnetoresistance oscillations.

It is a pleasure to thank V. Kubrak, B. L. Gallagher and I. V. Gornyi for interesting discussions. Financial support from SFB 195 of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, of the DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm "Quanten-Hall-Systeme" and from INTAS grant 97-1342 is gratefully acknowledged.

---

[1] S. A. Trugman, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 7539 (1983).

[2] M. M. Fogler *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **56**, 6823 (1997).

[3] M. M. Fogler, B. I. Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 11947 (1998).

[4] A. D. Mirlin, D. G. Polyakov, P. Wölfle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2429 (1998); F. Evers *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **60**, 8951 (1999).

[5] F. Evers *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **58**, 15321 (1998).

[6] J. E.Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 385 (1992).

[7] M. Johnson *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **71**, 974 (1997), F. G. Monzon, M. Johnson, M. L. Roukes, Appl. Phys. Lett. **71**, 3087 (1997).

[8] V. Kubrak *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **74**, 2507 (1999).

[9] T. Vančura *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B, **62** 5074 (2000).

[10] J. Reijniers, F. M. Peeters, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 357 (1998).

[11] M. I. D'yakonov, A. V. Khaetskii, JETP **72**, 590 (1991); A. V. Khaetskii, J. Phys. C **3**, 5115 (1991).